गुजरात के पिछड़े ग्रामीण और जनजातीय क्षेत्रों के विकास

369. **श्री चिमनभाई हरिभाई शुक्ला :** क्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सरकार ने गुजरात के पिछड़े, ग्रामीण और जनजातिय क्षेत्रों के विकास हेतु कोई योजना तैयार की है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है;

(ग) गत तीन वर्षों के दौरान इन क्षेत्रों के विकास के लिए वर्ष-वार कितनी धनराशि आवंटित की गई; और

(घ) गुजरात में इससे लाभान्वित व्यक्तियों की श्रेणी-वार और वर्ष-वार संख्या कितनी है ?

उद्योग मंत्रालय (उद्योगिक विकास विभाग) में राज्य मंत्री तथा उद्योग मंत्रालय (भारी उद्योग विभाग) में राज्य मंत्री (श्रीमती कृष्णा साही) :

(क) से (घ) सूचना एकत्र की जा रही है तथा सभा पटल पर रख दी जाएगी ।

Request from Madhya Pradesh for increase in assistance for SSIs

370. SHRI DILIP SINGH JUDEV: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the State Government of Madhya Pradesh has made any request to the Union Government to increase the Central Assistance during the current financial year to develop small scale industries in the state;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the response of the Union Government thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF SMALL SCALE INDUSTRIES AND AGRO AND RURAL INDUSTRIES) (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

Closure of H.E.C., Ranchi

371. SHRI RAHASBIHARI BARIK: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for the closure of Heavy Engineering Corporation (HEC), Ranchi;

(b) whether any steps are being taken by Government for the revival of HEC;

(c) if so, the funds required by HEC for its revival; and

(d) the details of financial provision made by the Centre for the revival of HEC?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT) AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF HEAVY INDUSTRY) (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI): (a) Heavy Engineering Corporation Ltd. (HEC) Ranchi has not been closed.

(b) and (c) The company had formulated a Turn Around Plan (TAP) which envisaged fund assistance of Rs. 198 crore (Rs. 70 crore for capital expenditure and Rs. 128 crore as equity) besides VRS and financial restructuring. The TAP was examined by IDBI, the Operating Agency appointed by the BIFR. However, the same has not been accepted by BIFR, stating that the rehabilitation package, formulated by IDBI was not viable. HEC is in the process of finalising an alternative plan for revival of the unit..

(d) As the revival plan has not yet been finalised, the question of any financial provision to that effect does not arise.